**Consensus Report of scientific panel**

**of the international assessment committee**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Acronym of LRI** |  |
| **Full name of LRI** |  |
| **Scientific domain** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ROLE AND MISSION**
 |
| 1. **Scientific and technological scope and services provided to the user community**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Compliance with emerging scientific and R&D trends in Europe and in the world**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Progress in implementation and operation in the past period and current status**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE**
 |
| 1. **Governance mechanisms, organisation chart and management structure**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Human resources policy and internal quality assurance instruments**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Gender balance and diversity and gender equality measures**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **RELEVANCE, IMPORTANCE AND SIGNIFICANCE**
 |
| 1. **Uniqueness of knowledge and technology expertise**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Position in the Czech, European and worldwide landscape**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Addressing of scientific and industrial community needs**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **COOPERATION, NETWORKING AND CLUSTERING**
 |
| 1. **Cooperation, networking and clustering in the Czech Republic**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Cooperation, networking and clustering in Europe and worldwide**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Synergies and complementarities with other initiatives and programmes**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **SOCIO-ECONOMIC BENEFITS AND IMPACT**
 |
| 1. **Development of new technologies and innovative products**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Local, regional and macro-regional socio-economic development**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Grand societal challenges and United Nations Sustainable Development Goals**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **USER STRATEGY AND OPEN ACCESS POLICY**
 |
| 1. **User strategy**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Open access policy**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Cooperation with businesses**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **OPEN SCIENCE**
 |
| 1. **Data management policy**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Internal e-infrastructure resources**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **FEASIBILITY STUDY AND SWOT ANALYSIS**
 |
| 1. **Risk, threats and mitigation measures**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Strategy for addressing main challenges**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **BENCHMARKING**
 |
| (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **PUBLIC RELATIONS AND OUTREACH**
 |
| (min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **CAPACITY USE AND R&D AND INNOVATION RESULTS**
 |
| 1. **R&D and innovation results reached by the operator**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **R&D and innovation results reached by the users**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Top-class and most valuable R&D and innovation results research by the operator**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Top-class and most valuable R&D and innovation results research by the users**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **COSTS AND BUDGET**
 |
| 1. **Operation costs**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing)1. **Investment costs**

(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS**
 |
| *Make an assessment of the KPIs target values proposed by the management of LRI in the filled in assessment questionnaire. In the case that a KPI target value is viewed not sufficient enough, suggest an adjustment, including relevant justification.*(min. 1 000 characters with spacing) (min. 500 characters with spacing for explanation of a KPI target value modification suggestion)  |
| **Grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low** |

|  |
| --- |
| **OVERALL OUTCOMES AND RECOMMENDATIONS** |
| 1. **Following the assessment of individual features of the LRI, please sum up your evaluation and justify your overall grade by highlighting the major strengths and/or weaknesses of the LRI.**

(min. 3 000 characters with spacing)1. **Please specify what recommendations for the future (up to 5 top priority) do you give to the LRI as regards addressing the weaknesses that you identified during the evaluation process.**

(no min. limit) |
| **Overall grade:** | **5 – Excellent / 4 – High / 3 – Good / 2 – Low / 1 – Very low****0 – Does not comply with the basic criteria of a large research infrastructure** |