



Úřad vlády
České republiky

Rada pro výzkum, vývoj a inovace

**Metodika
hodnocení výzkumných organizací
List VO**

Metropolitní univerzita Praha

SOUHRNNÁ ZPRÁVA PRO VÝZKUMNOU ORGANIZACI

Agregace kompletního hodnocení v Modulech 1-5

| VŠ | Metropolitní univerzita Praha | H24 |
|--|---|-----------------|
| | | B _{vš} |
| Oborové¹ kapacity cca +5 % | 1.2 Computer and information sciences 5.1 Psychology and cognitive sciences 5.2 Economics and Business 5.6 Political science 5.7 Social and economic geography | |
| Modul 1 a Modul 2 | <p>Známka: diferencováno dle OP1–6 (FORD 1–6)</p> <p>OP5 – 92 % oborových kapacit instituce. V Modulu 1 převažují hodnocení stupněm 3, objevuje se také hodnocení 2 a 1x hodnocení stupněm 1. Celkově se hodnocení kloní k lepším známkám. Převažují výsledky v kritériu Přínos k poznání v oboru Political Science. V Modulu 2 se profilují také převážně v oboru Political Science, ve kterém je produkce v Q1 na průměru ČR; produktivita je sice o něco nižší než národní průměr, ale články jsou publikovány v dobrých mezinárodních časopisech. Celkové hodnocení za OP5 je navrženo na B.</p> <p>OP6 – 8 % oborových kapacit instituce. Vzhledem ke sporné vypovídací hodnotě bibliometrie uvnitř jednotlivých humanitních oborů (např. archeologie x historie) se panel soustředil na Modul 1. V OP6 má VŠ za pět let dva zhodnocené výsledky, konkrétně 2x hodnocení stupněm 2.</p> | |
| Modul 3 | <p>Známka: B – Velmi dobrá</p> <p>Summary IEP (MEP)²: MUP is a university that is strongly focused on education, although it also has a significant research orientation – this is rare among private universities in the Czech Republic. Its strong focus on social sciences and humanities as well as basic research, reduces opportunities for participation in applied projects. Research findings therefore generally also do not have a direct and immediately visible impact on society. However, the self-evaluation report demonstrates good results in this regard.</p> <p>Although MUP is strongly focused on education and is very dependent on income from tuition fees, data in the self-evaluation report show that research activity is also very important for the university. This is visible in various research results, outputs of the university and researchers (publications, application for various projects and participation in projects, or even leadership of them) as well as the activities of the university management,</p> | |

¹ dle Portfolia zasláného vysokou školou na základě požadavku RVVI 2022-2023, resp. dle údajů RIV in Modul 2 (Report II. - oborové kapacity a produktivita autorů a autorek podle údajů v RIV). Z důvodu odlišné metodologie součet nemusí činit 100 %.

² International Evaluation Panel / Mezinárodní evaluační panel

which in various ways encourages and supports research activity of the staff, including doctoral students. The self-evaluation report demonstrates that MUP has in the last years increased and improved scientific achievements (more high-profile projects in social sciences, and publications abroad) and recognition in the domestic and international scientific/research community.

MUP has in recent years demonstrated its ability to adapt to challenges of different environments and also demonstrates its openness and willingness to follow recommendations with the aim of ensuring further improvements.

Známka: B – Velmi dobrá

Komentář MEP: MUP has an organizational structure appropriate to its position, nature and size.

Although it has made progress in the age and gender structure since the previous evaluation, imbalances in these two aspects are still evident. In particular, changing the gender structure is difficult for a single university, as it largely depends on the national regulatory system and values in the society. More possibilities for MUP to adopt and implement certain measures are possible in dealing with the issue of balanced age structure, and here some measures could/should be implemented. Here, it is necessary to stress that age imbalance is not a problem for the institution as long as older employees contribute to MUP's reputation in the same way as younger employees through high-quality research and publications.

The structure of financial resources is appropriate given the characteristics of MUP and its outputs. The university also has a good quality assurance system, although it could consider partially balancing the strong focus on bibliometric/quantitative data with qualitative data when evaluating the performance of its staff.

Modul 4

Známka: B – Velmi dobrá

Summary IEP (MEP): From the strategic perspective it is very good that MUP is voluntarily undergoing the five-year evaluation and thus demonstrates its openness to advice and change.

The financial structure is appropriate, including balance between private and public sources. In terms of funding it is particularly important that MUP has the ability to attract prestigious EU research projects (it has improved this since the last evaluation period). The planned ERC incubator for mentoring and supporting preparation of project proposals for ERC grants will be of great help in further improving the ability to obtain such projects. This can also help MUP to gain the role of a leading partner in such projects. Such achievements would also help the university to further opening up to the international environment and focusing on the international environment.

MUP has clearly expressed ambitions in the field of research and study programmes and has a plan of integrating new study areas with the research sphere.

In general, we can say that MUP has taken into account many of the recommendations of the previous evaluation and thus also demonstrated the ability to think strategically to further ensure excellence in the research field.

Modul 5

**Souhrnné
hodnocení na
úrovni
poskytovatele**

Celková známka: B – Velmi dobrá

Summary IEP (MEP): MUP is a private university that is strongly focused on education, but it also has a significant research orientation which is rare among private universities in the Czech Republic.

MUP places strong emphasis on research quality, supported by its internal grant system that also includes PhD candidates. In the past five years, the university has been increasingly successful in attracting external funding, both domestic and international.

The university is primarily dealing with social sciences and humanities. This is to an important extent linked to its clear focus on basic research. Indeed, MUP has a well-established network with state actors, public agencies and non-public actors including NGOs, but there is still room for improvement for applied research and cooperation with these kinds of institutions.

The data show that MUP has achieved very good results in some disciplines, especially in political science and international relations, while in other disciplines results/outputs can be improved. The university has made significant progress in reducing its reliance on a few researchers who previously generated most of the high-quality research and led the majority of projects.

MUP recognizes the gender and age disparities among its researchers; however, the wider Czech context has somewhat constrained its efforts to tackle gender imbalance more successfully.

The university has established a system with many incentives to reward research excellence and this has brought many important improvements. Researchers also have many opportunities to stay abroad as MUP has developed an extensive network of contracts with various foreign institutions, albeit mostly they are staying abroad for a short period of time.

The university has clearly established well-organized processes and achieved substantial improvements in the past five years, yet, results can often lag behind - a pattern that is also visible at MUP.

**Vyjádření
poskytovatele**

*Analýza výsledků hodnocení VŠ provedená poskytovatelem s podporou stanoviska OPO³ (EAC Statement on the 2025 Evaluation of Higher Education Institutions) ukazuje, že MUP nevybočuje z obecných trendů hodnocení. V roce 2020 byla MUP hodnocena jako C. Hodnocení MEP na úrovni poskytovatele ukazuje na pozitivní trend, který koresponduje s hodnocením na národní úrovni C/B. Poskytovatel dochází k závěru, že na obou úrovních hodnocení lze pozorovat pozitivní trend směřující ke zlepšení hodnocení oproti hodnocení 2020. Poskytovatel považuje zlepšení hodnocení z C na B za opodstatněné a doporučuje, aby pro účely tripartitních jednání bylo uvažováno o přiřazení celkového hodnocení **B – Velmi dobrá**.*

Závěr

Zástupci Rady pro výzkum, vývoj a inovace po diskusi vyjádřili souhlas s navrženým hodnocením dané vysoké školy.

Tripartita dospěla ke konsensu o přiřazení celkového hodnocení B_{vš}.

³ Odborný poradní orgán poskytovatele pro hodnocení výzkumných organizací v segmentu vysokých škol v roce 2025 / Expert Advisory Committee for Evaluation in the Higher Education Institutions Segment

IP DKRVO

Vysoká škola je příjemcem IP DKRVO.